

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.15029 of 2016.

Date of Decision: July 29, 2016

Bhajan Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH.**

Present: Mr.P.P.S.Duggall, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The land of the petitioner is said to have been acquired under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 for the public purpose of its utilization for the Border Security Force. The land is situated in district Tarn-Taran at Indo-Pak border. The petitioner is dissatisfied with the amount of compensation determined by the Land Acquisition Collector and has made a representation on 05.02.2015 to various authorities including the Land Acquisition Collector-respondent No.4. It appears that the petitioner was required to submit a proper reference which could be forwarded by Land Acquisition Collector to the District Judge under the 1894 Act. Since full facts are not on record, it is not expedient for us to form any definite opinion except to direct the Land Acquisition Collector, Tarn-Taran to verify the records and take an appropriate action including making reference

to the District Judge, Tarn-Taran, in accordance with law. An appropriate

decision in this regard shall be taken within a period of three months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

July 29, 2016
mohinder

[DARSHAN SINGH]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No