

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.19216 of 2013
Decided on :27.09.2016**

Shayam Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State Information Commission, Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Narender Pal Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Naresh Kumar, Tehsildar-Indri, in person.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had requested to get the copy of the demarcation report conducted on 15.06.2001 of village Kalri Khalsa, as per his application dated 20.10.2011 (Annexure P-3).

In the impugned order dated 17.05.2013 (Annexure P-17), the respondent-Commission has noticed that the petitioner is already in possession of the said report yet he has sought the same from the respondent-SPIO. It has further been observed that the said report was not available in the office of SPIO and the appropriate action had been taken at various stages to obtain the same from the Tehsildar, Indri. In such circumstances, penal proceedings initiated against respondent-SPIO had been dropped.

Even, in the reply filed by respondent No.3, it has been averred that the demarcation was conducted on 15.06.2001. The report of the

demarcation in original was sent to the petitioner by the office of respondent No.4 and he had not submitted the same to the respondent No.4 and, therefore, it could not be traced in the office of the said respondent. Reliance was also placed upon the dispatch register maintained by the office bearing signatures of the petitioner on receiving the original report.

In such circumstances, once the report is already in possession of the petitioner, no further orders are called for in the present writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

SEPTEMBER 27, 2016
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No