

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.15021 of 2016
Date of decision : July 29, 2016**

Pritam Singh Bedi and others **Petitioners**

Versus

State Bank of Patiala and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.C. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in terms of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.1350 of 2005, titled as ***“Dharam Pal Singh v Punjab National Bank and others”***, decided on 25.01.2008, which was affirmed by Hon'ble the Apex Court vide order dated 07.07.2014 (Annexure P-5), the pension was released to the petitioner but the interest was not paid.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he will be satisfied if the respondents are directed to decide his legal notice dated 05.10.2015 (Annexure P-10) for payment of interest only.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 05.10.2015 (Annexure P-10), claiming interest on the delayed payment of pensionary benefits by passing a speaking order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

July 29, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No