

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.15019 of 2016.

Date of Decision: July 29, 2016

Nanak Singh and others .....Petitioners

versus

Union of India and others .....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.**

**HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH.**

\*\*\*

Present: Mr.Parveen Kumar Garg, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

---

**Surya Kant, J.** (Oral)

The petitioners are co-sharers in the land described in para nos.2, 3 & 4 of the writ petition, a part of which appears to have been acquired by the National Highway Authority of India. Respondent Nos.4 & 5 are also co-sharers in the said land as they have purchased it in part subsequently. The dispute is with regard to apportionment of compensation amount amongst the co-sharers. Partition proceedings are also pending between the parties.

In our considered view, the petitioners have got sufficient alternative remedy to approach the Statutory Authority under the National Highway Act, 1956 and/or pursue the partition proceedings and get their shares determined so as to become eligible to receive compensation to the extent of their share in the acquired land. If any such proceedings are

initiated, we direct the Competent Authority to decide the same expeditiously.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]  
JUDGE

July 29, 2016  
*mohinder*

[DARSHAN SINGH]  
JUDGE

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |