

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

243

CWP-15911-2015

Date of Decision: July 26, 2016

ANIL KUMAR SONI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Piyush Kant Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.B.M.Vinayak, DAG, Punjab.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J.(Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of legal notice dated 25.3.2015 (Annexure P-5), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide his legal notice, within a reasonable time.

Notice of motion was issued but no reply has been filed despite more than two opportunities have been granted.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties at considerable length and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to Collector Ludhiana respondent No.2, to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised vide his legal notice dated 25.3.2015 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same by passing an appropriate order, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

July 26, 2016
TSG

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable?

Yes/No