

Civil Writ Petition No. 14771 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 27, 2016

Dr. Sandeep Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.S.SARON
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL**Present: Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

S.S.SARON, J.

The petition has been filed by the petitioner - Dr. Sandeep Kumar seeking quashing of letter dated 23.01.2015 (Annexure P-5) issued by the Veterinary Council of India at New Delhi ('Council' for short) to Sh. B.M. Gupta at Delhi conveying the offer of engagement to the post of Officer on Special Duty (OSD) (Examination) in the Council to him; office order dated 14.05.2015 (Annexure P-6) issued by the Council; office order dated 01.08.2015 (Annexure P-8) issued by the Council and office order dated 05.06.2015 (Annexure P-10) issued by the Council; besides, the Government of India letter dated 14.05.2015 (Annexure P-22) issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture to the President of the Council; letter dated 01.07.2015 (Annexure P-23) issued by the Government of India to the President of the Council; letter dated 11.08.2015 (Annexure P-24)

issued by the Government of India to the Assistant Secretary, of the Council and letter dated 17.09.2015 (Annexure P-25) issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries issued to the President of the Council. All the said impugned letters have been issued from New Delhi.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he in fact does not assail the letters dated 14.05.2015 (Annexure P-22) to 17.09.2015 (Annexure P-25) and these have been included inadvertently on account of typographical mistakes.

Be that as it may, all the impugned letters have been issued at New Delhi and also to persons at New Delhi. Besides, it is alleged that there is misuse of National Emblem by Dr. Umesh Chandra Sharma, President of the Council (respondent No. 3), which is in violation of Government of India letter dated 08.06.2015 (Annexure P-28).

Apart from maintainability of the petition, which is liable to be considered it may in any case be noticed that no cause of action is shown to have accrued to the petitioner within the territorial jurisdiction of this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in the circumstances prays that he may be allowed to withdraw the petition and it may be returned to him so as to enable him to file it before an appropriate Court/Forum having jurisdiction in the matter.

Accordingly, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn and is returned to the petitioner for presentation before an appropriate Court/Forum.

(S.S. Saron)
Judge

July 27, 2016
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Note :	Whether speaking/reasoned.	Yes.
	Whether reportable.	No.