

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 14667 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.08.2016.

Mukesh & others

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The petitioners were engaged as Vocational Trainers in different trades and on different points of time purely on contractual basis.

The instant petition was filed raising a grievance that the respondent authorities are resorting to a methodology, whereby one set of contractual appointees is sought to be replaced by a similar arrangement. As per counsel such course of action was impermissible in law and in violation of the judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court rendered in case of ***Hargurpratap Singh Versus State of Punjab and others 2007 (13) SCC 292.***

During the course of hearing today counsel has produced an order dated 8.8.2016, passed by the State Project Director, Haryana School Shiksha Pariyojna Parishad/respondent no.3 and wherein the following decision has been taken:-

“Subject:- Regarding deployment of Vocational Trainers.

In continuation of this office letter no.4/30-2016/HR NSQF dated 05.07.2016, it is clarified that the Vocational Trainers who had earlier been engaged by the VTPs through an open advertisement and by following due

procedure and fulfill the essential qualifications prescribed for the post and are continuing as such on the basis of their good work, conduct and performance, their services may be continued to be utilized and they need not apply afresh, in case the same VTPs has been engaged for the same trade. However, this would be subject to a self attested affidavit from the Vocational Trainers that and if anything later on is found contrary, their services would liable to be dispensed with without any notice.”

In the light of such development, no further directions are required to be passed in the instant petition.

Writ petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

09.08.2016

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No