

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.14597 of 2016
Decided on :27.08.2016**

Adhi Shree Goyal

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab University and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Mukand Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate for the respondents.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks admission to the 3 year L.L.B. Course, on the basis of the final merit list on the ground that he has obtained 137.11 marks in the final merit list. It is the grouse of the petitioner that he has been kept in the separate wait list of the candidates, who have applied late and that University had not put up sufficient notice regarding the cut-off-date.

It is not disputed that as per the prospectus for the 3 year L.L.B. Course, the admission form was to be submitted to the department immediately after the conduct of the entrance test, irrespective of the declaration of result in the entrance test. The same was held on 19.06.2016 and the result was declared on 27.06.2016.

The respondent-University alongwith its reply has appended the admission notice, whereby candidates have to apply by 30.06.2016 in the concerned Department/Regional Centres, irrespective of the declaration of the result of qualifying exam/entrance test. It is the case of the respondent-University, thereafter, on 30.06.2016, the date was extended upto 04.07.2016 (Annexure R-2) on the same pattern for all the departments.

It is not disputed that the petitioner has failed to apply within the

prescribed time and has only applied on 07.07.2016. Resultantly, he has been kept in the separate wait list.

Counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that as per Annexure P-5, the public notice was issued on 05.07.2016 and the cut-off-date was 04.07.2016 and, therefore, the petitioner was prejudiced in not applying within the prescribed time.

Counsel for the respondent-University has pointed out that on 05.07.2016 only the notice, which prescribed the tentative schedule for admission amongst various categories, which was to be done on various dates had been notified, which would be clear from Annexure P-6. The same fixed different times and dates for interviews of students from different categories.

Resultantly, it is apparent that the petitioner had failed to apply within the prescribed period. Therefore, for his own inaction, he has been put in the wait list and does not figure in the list of candidates who are liable to be considered for admission, on the strength of the merit. No fault can be found, thus, with the act of the respondent-University.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed.

AUGUST 27 2016
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No