

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18473 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.01.2016

Geeta

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. M.S. Chahal, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

RITU BAHRI, J.

Petitioner is seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to appoint her on the post of sweeper.

Pursuant to an advertisement published in 'Dainik Bhaskar' newspaper on 25.04.2012 (Annexure P-1), the petitioner had applied for the post of Sweeper (Class IV). Her grievance is that as per the said advertisement, essential qualification for the post of peon/sweeper was 8th Class, whereas the selected candidate namely Saroj-respondent No.5 was only 5th Class pass. A copy of certificate regarding middle class examination is attached as Annexure P-4.

On notice, written statement on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 has been filed. Copy of advertisement has been attached with the written statement as Annexure R-1, whereby candidates were invited for walk-in-interview for selection of staff on contract basis at consolidated salary. At

serial no.7, six posts of Sweepers (female) were published, for which, no minimum qualification was prescribed.

In the absence of any prescribed qualification for the post of Sweeper as per Annexure R-1, the petitioner cannot seek appointment on the ground that she is 10th class pass and the selected candidate namely Saroj is only 5th pass. Therefore, the selection of Saroj-respondent No.5 does not require any interference.

No merits.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

13.01.2016
ajp