

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14157 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision:14.09.2016

Naveen Kumar & others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

Main case:

The instant writ petition had been filed raising a grievance that Government College, Chhachhrauli, Yamuna Nagar is resorting to a practice of replacing one set of contractual employees by a similar arrangement.

Counsel appearing for the petitioners during the course of arguments today would submit that as of date the grievance of petitioners No.1, 2 and 4 would not survive as they have again been engaged on contractual basis.

Insofar as petitioner No.3 is concerned, it is submitted that she was engaged as Extension Lecturer in the subject of Hindi and has since been relieved. It is submitted that she has not been replaced by another contractual arrangement. However, a submission has been raised that the work and conduct of petitioner No.3 has been exemplary and there is workload in the college to justify her engagement on contractual basis. In this regard, there are no averments or relevant documents/material placed on record to substantiate the assertion.

Under such circumstances, counsel prays for withdrawal of the

petition with liberty to file a petition afresh qua petitioners No.1, 2 and 4 in the eventuality of their being replaced by another contractual engagement and in the case of petitioner No.3 by filing a petition afresh with better particulars.

Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

CM No.8574 of 2016:

Application also stands disposed of.

14.09.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|----|
| i) | Whether reasoned/speaking? | No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | No |