

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2394 of 2011
Date of decision: 08.07.2016

Hoshiara and Others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karminster Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the petition consideration for regularization has been granted to the petitioners. Petition does not survive for consideration.

In view of the above submission, the writ petition has become infructuous. The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

July 08, 2016
Poonam (II)

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE