

105

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-9052-C-2016 IN
RSA-1305-2007 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.07.2016

General Manager, Telecom, BSNL, Jalandhar

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Manjit Singh

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. D.R. Sharma, Advocate
for the applicant/appellant.

Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The present application has been filed for rendering the appeal as infructuous in view of the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd. V/s Pawan Kumar Gupta” 2015 (4) RCR (Civil) 865**, wherein it has been held that for seeking the recovery of the outstanding amount by the Company or the Corporation of the Government/Central Government, the limitation period provided under Article 112 of the Limitation Act is three years. In the instant case, the claim in the suit is beyond three years.

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the

case, no cause of action survives in the appeal aforementioned and accordingly, the appeal is ordered to be dismissed as infructuous in view of the ratio decidendi culled out by the judgment rendered in **“BSNL's case (supra).**

Dismissed as infructuous.

23.07.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE