

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-M-320-M-2005

DATE OF DECISION :11.03.2016

Bharti

... Appellant

Versus

Parveen

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.JEYAPPAUL.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH.

Present :- None.

M.JEYAPPAUL, J. Oral

The appeal was originally filed by the counsel who has since been elevated as Judge of the High Court, thereafter, Sh. Amit Jain, Advocate undertook to file '*Vakalatnama*' for the appellant. He reiterated that he would get instructions from the appellant and take effective steps to serve notice upon the respondent.

The presence of the appellant could not be secured as she could not be contacted in the absence of complete address. The matter also could not be sent for effective settlement before the Lok Adalat. We gave last opportunity to Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate for the appellant to take effective service upon the respondent. He is also not present today in the Court.

For all these reasons, the appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 11, 2016

Prince