

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 14034 of 2016
Date of Decision: 2.8.2016**

Jarnail Chand

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Chaman Lal Pawar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not even aware as to what happened in the proceedings after 10.2.2014 when communication Annexure P-4 was issued.

When confronted with the fact that enquiry against respondent No.5 might have been concluded in her favour, he had no answer because he has not been instructed by the petitioner in this regard. In this view of the

AMIT KUMAR
2016.08.03 10:49
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

matter, present writ petition is lacking in particulars and the same is wholly misconceived, which is liable to be dismissed. No case for interference has been made out.

Dismissed.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

2.8.2016
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No