

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA,
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 14013 of 2016
Date of decision : September 8, 2016**

Sheela

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

**Present:- Mr. Pravesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Anmol Pandit, Advocate for
Mr. A. K. Bansal, Advocate
for UOI.**

**Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.**

**Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
for respondent No.6.**

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J (oral).

Short reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 has been filed in Court. The same is taken on record. It is averred in the reply that the report of the Field Verification Committee (FVC) has been sent to the competent authority for its approval.

It is submitted that until and unless the report of the Field Verification Committee (FVC) is approved by the competent authority, no action can be taken against respondent No.4 and the cause of action would arise only after the order is passed by the competent authority in respect of

approval or dis-approval of the FVC.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the writ petition at this stage reserving his right to challenge the order in case it is passed against respondent No.4.

Ordered accordingly.

The Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are directed to communicate the order, to be passed by the competent authority, to the petitioners.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

September 8, 2016
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No