

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.13982 of 2016
Date of Decision : 29.8.2016

Vinod Kumar Khosla

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

...

Present : Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed by the respondent authorities, he finally approached the respondent authorities by way of legal notice 9.2.2016 (Annexure P-13), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent no.2 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner, within a reasonable time.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the

rights of either of the parties, Deputy Commissioner-cum-Collector, Patiala-respondent no.2 is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised in his legal notice dated 9.2.2016 (Annexure P-13) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the above said observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

29.8.2016
GS

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No