

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14902-2015

Date of decision:- 05.01.2016

Smt. Sudesh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 to 4 – HUDA.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 4 – HUDA states that if a fresh application is made by the petitioner for the allotment of an alternate plot, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

2. The petitioner places reliance upon a communication dated 01.08.2013 (Annexure P-13) from the Estate Officer, HUDA, Karnal to the Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula.

3. Needless to add that the petitioner would be entitled to rely upon all the relevant factors including the said communication.

4. The respondents have agreed to take a decision within 12 weeks of the receipt of the representation.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

05.01.2016

Amodh