

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

FAO No.1436 of 2009

Date of Decision.11.03.2016

Madan Lal

.....Appellant

Vs.

Mohkam Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. G.D. Gupta, Advocate
for the insurance company.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The appeal is for enhancement of compensation for injuries suffered by a Mason that resulted in fracture of shaft femur. The Tribunal provided ₹10,000/- for loss of income, ₹10,000/- towards medical expenses, ₹10,000/- for pain and suffering and ₹20,000/- for disability assessed at 8%. The counsel argues that for a Mason the fracture of the shaft femur casting 8% disability, the assessment was grossly low.

2. I will find no serious error in the assessment already made but however considering the fact that he was a Mason and the disability could have resulted loss of income for at least about 5 or 6 months, I will allow for additional amount of ₹7500/- as the compensation. This amount shall also attract interest @7.5% from the date of petition till

the date of payment.

3. The award already passed by the Tribunal is modified and the appeal is allowed to the above extent.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 11, 2016
Pankaj*