

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13878 of 2016
Date of decision:18.7.2016**

Basant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: None for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

A bare perusal of the file would reveal that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of a self-contained representation dated 24.2.2016 (Annexure P-2), but the same is also pending decision. Thus, it would be in the interest of justice, if respondent No.4 is directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a reasonable time.

After going through the record of the case file and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case,, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Divisional Engineer (C-II), Bathinda Development Authority, Bathinda-respondent No.4, is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised vide his representation dated 24.2.2016 (Annexure P-2) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

**18.7.2016
mks**

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**