

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13698 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.07.2016.

Ramphal Shastri & another

--Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Public Service Commission

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Kuldeep Khandelwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The Haryana Public Service Commission issued advertisement dated 24.1.2014 inviting applications for filling up 1647 posts of Assistant Professors in the Higher Education Department, State of Haryana.

It so transpires that the present petitioners preferred CWP No.6699 of 2016 raising a grievance that the criteria of selection and the mode and manner of conducting written test has not been disclosed. Such writ petition was disposed of on 8.4.2016 by a Coordinate Bench of this Court with a direction to the Commission to decide and to pass an order on a representation that had already been filed.

This has led to the passing of an order dated 16.5.2016 (Annexure P-4) and which is under challenge in the instant writ petition.

Perusal of the order dated 16.5.2016 (Annexure P-4) would reveal that the query of the petitioners in relation to the written test has been answered and the respondent Commission has disclosed that the test would be of multiple choice type and which would be set in bilingual i.e. Hindi and English and based on syllabus which already stood displayed on the Commission's website.

As regards the criteria to be followed at the stage of Interview, it has been recited in the impugned order that the criteria is prepared by the Commission at the time of Viva-voce/Interview.

It stands conceded by learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that presently the selection is at the stage of conducting a written/recruitment test. The Interviews would follow thereafter.

In spite of repeatedly being called upon counsel has not been able to advert to any statutory rule/instructions or requirement in law that would bind and make it obligatory for the Commission to disclose the criteria for conducting Viva-voce/Interview, at this stage itself.

Having argued the matter at length and having failed to respond to the query put by this Court, counsel prays for withdrawal of the instant petition.

Prayer is allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

15.07.2016.
lucky