

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP No. 15345 of 2014

Vijay Nath Bahl and others .....Petitioners  
versus

Ch. Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural Uni, Hisar & ors. ..Respondents

2. CWP No. 15355 of 2014

Kulvinder Singh .....Petitioner  
versus

Lal Lajpat Rai Uni. Of Veterinary & Animal Services, Hissar ..Respondents

**Date of decision:-08.02.2016**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate, with  
Ms.Rimpal Kadyan, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Gaurav Goel, AAG, Haryana

Mrs. Munisha Gandhi, Sr. Advocate with  
Ms. Salina Chalana, Advocate, for respondent No.3 and 4

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

\*\*\*\*

**RITU BAHRI , J.**

In view of the detailed order passed on even date in **CWP  
No. 20062 of 2006 titled as Vijay Nath Bahl vs. Ch. Charan Singh  
Haryana Agricultural Uni, Hisar & ors**, the writ petitions are allowed and  
the respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioners for

promotion as Assistants from the date candidates junior to them have been promoted and they be granted all consequential benefits.

**(RITU BAHRI)**  
**JUDGE**

**08.02.2016**

G Arora