

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13654 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : August 01, 2016**

Ex. ASI Krishan Chand

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner was awarded the punishment of stoppage of 15 annual increments with cumulative effect vide order dated 13.11.2004 (Annexure P-1). In the appeal, the punishment was reduced to stoppage of 5 annual increments with cumulative effect vide orders dated 06.10.2005 and 10.03.2006 (Annexures P-2 and P-4).

Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads that in this case, the complainant did not support the complaint. Therefore, the Inquiry Officer erred in holding the petitioner guilty, so are the punishing authority and the appellate authority.

I am of the view that in the departmental proceedings, if the complainant is won over whether the contents of the complaint are correct or not, no interference in the inquiry is required. Thus, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned orders.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 01, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

No

Whether Reportable:

No