

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No. 13630 of 2016

Date of Decision: 14.7.2016

Hari Krishan

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Navkesh Singh Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed by the respondent authorities, he finally approached respondent No.2 by way of self contained representation Annexure P-6, which is claimed to be dated 30.5.2016, but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case the Tehsildar, East, New Court Complex, Bharat Nagar Chowk, Ludhiana-respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide his representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, including the issue of limitation, if any, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the Tehsildar, East, New Court Complex, Bharat Nagar Chowk, Ludhiana-respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner, raised vide his representation Annexure P-6 and decide the same, at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

14.7.2016
Ak Sharma