

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 13618 of 2016
Date of Decision: 14.7.2016**

Bharpur Singh

--Petitioners.

Vs.

State of Punjab, through its Secretary, Department of Revenue and others

--Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Ms. Rajvinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is feeling aggrieved against the attachment of his property by the District Manager-cum-District Controller, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Sangrur. However, neither the said order of attachment is under challenge nor copy thereof has been placed on record.

During the course of hearing, it has transpired that petitioner is a partner in the partnership firm namely M/s Jagowal Rice Mills. Annexure P-1 shows that one half share of the property owned by the petitioner was attached against the outstanding quantity of 1561.57 quintals of rice. It seems that rice was supplied to the firm of the petitioner by the District Manager-cum-District Controller, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs, Sangrur, for milling purpose. When the partnership firm of the petitioner did not supply the requisite quantity of milled rice to the department, property of the petitioner has been attached.

AMIT KUMAR
2016.07.15 12:18
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

In the absence of any challenge to the order passed by the competent authority, no relief can be granted to the petitioner in the present petition which lacks in particulars and material. The writ petition is wholly misconceived and the same is hereby dismissed, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to pursue his remedy, in accordance with law.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

14.7.2016
AK Sharma