

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13578 of 2016
Date of decision: 13.7.2016

Ranbir Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & anr. ... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Argues that his client has been transferred from Sonapat to Faridabad when he has only one year left in service. The petitioner remained posted at Sonapat for above 1½ years prior to the passing of the impugned transfer order which period is short of normal three years posting protected by the transfer policy. The petitioner is only an Assistant in the Department of Woman and Child Development, who is not involved to any public dealing in office.

He has made a representation dated July 05, 2016 (P-10) to the authorities on his grouse which is still pending with the decision-maker.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide upon the grievance/representation of the petitioner by treating this petition as a supplementary representation and to do so within a period of 7 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. The competent authority

in making its decision would consider the law in **Shilpi Bose (Mrs.) v. State of Bihar**, AIR 1991 SC 532 and **Union of India and others v. S.L. Abbas**, 1995 (4) SCT 455. The petitioner shall not be relieved from present place of posting till re-examination of his case.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

13.7.2016
monika