

**Sr. No. 113
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13442 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.07.2016**

Des Raj

... Petitioner

Versus

HUDA and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner is currently serving on the post of Assistant under the Haryana Urban Development Authority.

Counsel submits that the petitioner had raised a claim before the Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula for stepping up of his pay at par with a junior i.e. Sh. Raghuvir Singh, S/o Mool Chand, seniority No.28. The order dated 28.08.2015 at Annexure P-7 has been referred to, to contend that claim of the petitioner has been apparently accepted inasmuch as pay of the petitioner whose name is reflected at seniority No.21 has been stepped up and brought at par with his junior Sh. Raghuvir Singh as regards grant of first ACP with effect from 01.05.1997 but the anomaly still survives as regards grant of benefit of second ACP with effect from 01.05.2007.

Mr. Naveen Daryal, learned counsel would submit that a representation in such regard dated 09.02.2016 has already been submitted and he would be satisfied if at this stage directions are issued for a decision to be taken on such representation.

I find such request and submissions to be fair.

Without making any observations on merits and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 i.e. the Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula to consider the claim of the petitioner as set up in the representation dated 09.02.2016 (Annexure P-9) strictly in accordance with law and by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

13.07.2016

vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE