

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 29.08.2016****CWP No.13439 of 2016**

Dilbag Singh & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.14761 of 2016

Khem Raj ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.15363 of 2016

Santosh Devi & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.16076 of 2016

Sube Singh Yadav ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.16151 of 2016

Shakuntla Devi & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & another ...Respondents

CWP No.16672 of 2016

Ram Lal & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & another ...Respondents

CWP No.16776 of 2016

Parmanand & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.16782 of 2016

Sohan Lal & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.16789 of 2016

Veena Goel & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & another ...Respondents

CWP No.16830 of 2016

Randhir Singh & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.17423 of 2016

Shiv Kumar & another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CWP No.17643 of 2016

Suman Bala

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate;
 Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate;
 Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate;
 Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Advocate;
 Mr. Ashwani Bakshi, Advocate; &
 Ms. Rimple Kadyan, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

This order will dispose of the above mentioned writ petitions, as common questions of law are involved in them, which can conveniently be decided by a common order. However, the facts are not necessary to incorporate in the order since the learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and the respondent(s) including Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana are ad idem that the impugned order has to be annulled as per clarification issued by the coordinate bench in Dalbir Singh & others Vs. State of Haryana & others [CWP No.14580 of 2016 (O&M) decided on 10.08.2016] to the effect that the reversion of the petitioner(s) shall not be construed as an opinion of this Court on the merits of the case. Still further, the right to the petitioner(s)

to hold the promotional post of Elementary School Headmaster would be subject to the outcome of the fresh order to be passed in compliance of the court orders. Therefore, the impugned order is set aside in the technical sense and in the same terms as in *Dalbir Singh* case (supra).

This order would apply to the Headmasters as well.

Consequently, the bunch of writ petitions stand disposed of. As a result, the interim orders stand merged in the final order. The directions issued in *Dalbir Singh*'s case will be read as directions in this bunch of cases as well.

29.08.2016
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*