

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.13411 of 2016

Date of Decision: 13.07.2016

Krishan Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. R.K. Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The claim of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to decide representation dated 26.02.2016 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law and as per instructions dated 18.03.2011 issued by the Punjab State Government as well as in view of policy decision dated 17.11.2011 framed by the Punjab Government and to pay him the consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is serving the respondents-department for the last more than 20 years but his case for regularization has not been considered in spite of his giving representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to decide the representation dated 26.02.2016 (Annexure P-6), which is pending with the respondent authorities.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 26.02.2016 (Annexure P-6) moved by the petitioner in view of instructions dated 18.03.2011 (Annexure P-1) as well as policy decision dated 17.11.2011 (Annexure P-2) and pass necessary orders in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

However, in case, the petitioner is found to be entitled for the relief sought by him in his representation, he be granted the same within a period of three months thereafter.

In case, any adverse order is passed, then the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the same.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

13.07.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE