

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13354 of 2016
Date of decision: 12.7.2016**

Shyam Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Narender Pal Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The present writ is premature since it has been filed against a show cause notice of dismissal from service calling for objections and response in writing from the petitioner. Dismissal is not an accomplished fact at present since the final order is yet to be passed. This court has no doubt that the petitioner's defence pleas against the show cause notice would be considered by the authority before making final orders. In case, the order passed is adverse to the petitioner, and he feels aggrieved he would no doubt have liberty to challenge it before this Court. Without expressing any opinion on merits or casting any aspersions on anyone it is observed that the dismissal of the petition will not influence the mind of the punishing authority nor of the trial court in the pending criminal trial or effect their outcome or prejudice the case of the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as premature and needless to say with the liberty as aforesaid, a liberty the petitioner always had.

12.7.2016
monika

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE