

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

221

**Civil Writ Petition No.1327 of 2016
Date of Decision:01.02.2016**

Tribhuwan and others

....petitioners

Versus

Chandigarh Housing Board and another

.....respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr.Bimal Maini, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr.Shekhar Verma Advocate
for the respondents

S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (ORAL):

Separate petitions ought to have been filed, as there are differences between the petitioners themselves. The allotment letters issued in favour of petitioners No.1 to 8 have been cancelled, whereas, the allotment letters issued in respect of petitioners No.9 to 11, have allegedly, still not been cancelled. However, according to the respondents, show cause notices for cancellation, thereof, have been issued, and if not issued, the same may be issued.

There is no question of parity, at this stage, between the petitioners, inter se. The petitioners, whose allotments have been cancelled, have an alternate remedy of appeal. The petitioners seek a lenient view to be taken and also contend that they have not received certain notices. These issues, ought, in the first instance, to be decided in the appeal. The petitioners are relegated to the alternate remedy of appeal and/or to respond to the show cause

notices. Any application for condonation of delay, shall also be decided by the Appellate Authority, on its own merits.

The writ petition is accordingly, disposed of.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

February 01, 2016
neenu