

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14875 of 2014
Date of Decision: 04.02.2016

Ram Apple Merchant

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

State Agricultural Marketing Board and another

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Rakesh Bhatia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.K.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the respondents.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (O&M)

The application of the petitioner for grant of licence of kacha ahartiya under Section 10 of the Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1961 [as applicable to UT, Chandigarh] on the premises bearing SCF No.3, Sector 26, Subzi Mandi, Chandigarh, was earlier declined on the ground that the said property was resumed because of the building violation but on 27.1.2016, the resumption order has been cancelled as the building violations have been removed by the owner of the property in which the petitioner is a tenant.

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that since the main hurdle is over, therefore, the licence to the petitioner shall be issued by the Secretary, State Agricultural Marketing Board, UT, Chandigarh, where this matter is pending. He has further submitted that the Secretary, State Agricultural Marketing Board, UT, Chandigarh is respondent No.1 to whom, direction be issued, to decide the case of the petitioner for grant of licence to him in a particular time.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to grant the licence of kacha ahartiya to the petitioner within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

04.02.2016

Vivek

VIVEK PAHWA
2016.02.08 11:41
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)**
JUDGE