

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14177 of 2015

Date of decision: 05.09.2016

Harnek Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.M.Vinayak, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manmeet Singh Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that suspension period of the licence of Deed Writer of the petitioner has already been expired, because of which his prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, has already been rendered infructuous. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let the present writ petition be disposed of, issuing a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the competent authority-respondent No.3 to consider and decide his pending application dated 05.11.2014 (Annexure P-4), by passing an appropriate order, at an early date.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for respondent No.5 also fairly state that they have got no objection in case the abovesaid prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is accepted.

In view of the respective stand taken on behalf of the parties, present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Registrar, Kapurthala-respondent No.3 to consider the application dated 05.11.2014 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner, if it is pending undecided and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

05.09.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No