

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13154 of 2016

Date of Decision: 23.12.2016

Ravinder and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Aftab Singh Khara, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

1. The argument is that State Government is bound to pass an order on representation of the petitioners for appointment to State Service under the policy of appointment of outstanding sports persons. The representation is not statutory and there is no law which binds the State of Haryana to pass an order on the representation. Such requests are in discretionary domain. No outstanding sports person has a right to appointment. He has a right of consideration and consideration need not be always recorded in writing and conveyed. A decision can be taken in close doors. No rule or regulation has been pointed out that in a non-statutory representation there is an obligation on the State to respond in writing and give reasons for its decision.

2. Eligibility has got nothing to do with the case. The petitioners may be eligible but that will not bring relief to them independently.

3. I find no merit in this petition. The petitioners are already in service. One of them is a Constable in CRPF while the other is a Sepoy in

the Army.

4. I find no reason to interfere in this matter and would command dismissing it. However, the dismissal of this petition will not preclude the petitioners from pursuing their request with the State Government.

5. The petition stands dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

23.12.2016

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No