

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13147 of 2016

Date of Decision: 08.07.2016

Anoop Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

The petitioner is aggrieved by order dated June 27, 2016 (P-7) vide which he has been transferred from Jind to Barnala (Hisar) without any cogent and valid reason.

He has made a representation dated July 04, 2016 (P-10) to the authorities which is still pending with the decision-maker.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide upon the grievance/representation of the petitioner by treating this petition as a supplementary representation within a period of 7 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. The competent authority in making its decision would consider the

law in **Shilpi Bose (Mrs.) v. State of Bihar**, AIR 1991 SC 532 and **Union**

of India and others v. S.L. Abbas, 1995 (4) SCT 455.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

08.07.2016
manju