

**Sr. No. 134
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13089 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.07.2016**

Shyam Kumar Sharma and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Nitin Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioners are stated to be working as Lecturers in the Haryana Education Department. It has been averred that after the initial appointments/engagements, their services have since been regularized. During the course of their employment, the petitioners are stated to have improved/acquired additional and higher qualifications in the nature of Ph.D. etc.

Claim set up in the present petition is for grant of incentive in the nature of additional increments upon acquiring higher qualifications while in service. Such claim is based on the strength of recommendations stated to have been made by the Pay Revision Committee set up by the State of Haryana.

During the course of arguments, Mr. Nitin Jain, learned counsel would concede that even though the Pay Revision Committee may have recommended the grant of such financial incentive to the Lecturers working in the Haryana Education Department on their acquiring higher qualifications yet till date there is no document available that would reflect

a conscious decision on the part of the State Government in having accepted the recommendations of the Pay Revision Committee.

In the light of such situation, counsel prays for withdrawal of the petition at this stage to pursue the matter with the State Government as regarding taking a final decision on acceptance or otherwise of the recommendations made by the Pay Revision Committee.

Prayer is accepted.

Present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits as regards the claim of the petitioners. It is further observed that in case the petitioners move the requisite representation before the State Government/competent authority as regards taking a final view with regard to acceptance or otherwise of the recommendations of the Pay Revision Committee, such representation would be examined and a final decision thereupon would be taken expeditiously.

Disposed of.

08.07.2016

vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE