

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13073 of 2016
Date of decision: 08.07.2016**

Satish Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

UCO Bank and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr. Chandan Deep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the leave encashment of the petitioner is pending and has not been disbursed by the department. He states that the petitioner will be satisfied if his representation (Annexure P3) is ordered to be decided by the department.

In the circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide representation (Annexure P3) of the petitioner within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

08.07.2016
gk

**(Kuldeep Singh)
Judge**