

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20391 of 2012
Date of decision:28.04.2016

Manjinder Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. S.P.Soi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

On 24.01.2013, the present case was adjourned on the request of the counsel for the petitioner who wanted to file an additional affidavit to bring on record the position after the passing of the order dated 14.08.2012 (P-3) with respect to the running of the depot.

Since the petitioner did not comply with the said order, therefore, the case was ultimately adjourned *sine die* on 13.05.2013, with the following order:-

“Adjourned sine die.

List after compliance of the previous order.”

After expiry of about 3 years, since no action was taken by the petitioner even for the revival of the petition, therefore, the case has been listed by the Registry itself and on 05.04.2016, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for an adjournment to comply with the order dt. 24.1.2013.

Today, counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he had though informed the petitioner but he has not come forward with the requisite information.

In view thereof, this Court cannot indefinitely wait for the petitioner to comply with the order dated 24.01.2013 and hence, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

April 28, 2016
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge