

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13051 of 2016

Date of decision:12.7.2016

Vandana Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Kurukshetra University & anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The services of the petitioner have been put to an end by 2nd respondent/College, which is a private institution not directly amenable to writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. However, that does not mean that the petitioner has no right to vindicate her grievance in an appropriate forum which has jurisdiction. The powers of Educational Tribunals have been conferred on District Judges in the State of Haryana to be designated authority under the Haryana Affiliated Colleges (Security of Service) Act, 1979. The petitioner is relegated to her alternative remedy. This petition is, accordingly, dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

Order dasti on payment.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

12.7.2016

monika