

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**CWP No.13992 of 2015
Decided on 26.2.2016**

Yamuna Calcium Pvt. Ltd. District Yamunanagar

--Petitioner

Vs.

UHBVNL and others

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Ms.Sanamjeet Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr.Ashwani Talwar, Advocate,for the petitioner

Mr.Parvindra Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent Nos. 1 to 4
and 6

Mr.C.B.Goel, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

On 3.8.2015, following order was passed by this Court:-

“In compliance of the order passed by this Court dated 21.07.2015, the inspection report has been submitted in Court, taken on record.

Counsel for the petitioner, in the light of the report submitted by the Committee, very fairly states that there is no ground now for denying connection to respondent No,5. Accordingly, if respondent No.5 fulfils the requirement of UHBVNL, the connection may be released to respondent No.5, if already not released.

Adjourned to 02.02.2016 for consideration.

Reply on behalf of respondents be filed within a period of eight weeks.

Replication, if any, be filed within a further period of six weeks”.

Mr. Goel, has submitted that connection has already been released to respondent No.5.

In view thereof, this petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

In case, the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.5 at the bar is not found correct, the petitioner is at liberty to file an application for revival of this petition.

26.2.2016
rr

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge