

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 12938 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.07.2016

M/s Ambika International

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

Present: Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate
for Senior Panel Counsel for UOI/respondent No.1.

Mr. Tajender K. Joshi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJESH BINDAL,J.

The writ petition was filed during vacations showing it to be of urgent nature. The only challenge in the writ petition is to the vires of Clause (c) of Section 31(2) of the Central Excise Act, 1944. There is no challenge to any order passed by any authority for which jurisdiction of this Court could be invoked.

Notice of motion was issued and both the respondents are represented.

When the case was taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn, subject to payment of ₹ 50,000/- as costs. ₹ 25,000/- be deposited with the Registry of this Court whereas ₹ 25,000/- be deposited with respondent No.2 within one month.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

27.07.2016
reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No