

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20227 of 2012
Date of Decision: 2.5.2016**

Brij Mohan

....Petitioner.

Versus

Uttari Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam and othersRespondents.

CORAM: -HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

Present: - Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. D.S. Malik, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

.....

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J

.....

Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 and 2 contends that action has been taken against respondent No. 3. FIR has been registered and show cause notice has also been issued for major penalty.

In view of the said fact, present petition has become infructuous. If the petitioner is still aggrieved that no action is taken by respondents No. 1 and 2, he shall be at liberty to file fresh petition.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

**(PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL)
JUDGE**

2.5.2016
preeti