

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CWP No.12895 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.09.2016**

Het Ram Sharma and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sachin Gupta Ladwa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

A difference or gap will always have to be maintained between part-time employment of 4 hours a day or less and full time employment, both paid at DC rates and, therefore, no cause for interference is made out in the notification prescribing DC rates for District Palwal for part-time employees like the petitioners.

The main grievance of the petitioners is regarding the notification dated June 10, 2016 issued by the Deputy Commissioner, Palwal which has been withdrawn and in its place a substituted notification has been issued (Annex R-I). A specific averment has been made to this effect in para.3 of the preliminary objections taken by the State in the written statement filed by the Deputy Commissioner, Palwal. The rate of wages for part-time Class IV employees has been restored to the position obtaining in the year 2016-17. If the petitioners expect that they deserve higher rates of wages for part-time employment or a better deal they are free

to take up the issue with the authorities within the machinery provided under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948.

With this liberty, the petition stands dismissed as infructuous in view of withdrawal of the notification and its replacement by another notification prescribing the rates of wages in various category of employments both full time and part-time.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

29.09.2016
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No