

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.M. No. 1374 of 2016 in/and
CWP No. 1274 of 2016
Date of decision: 05.02.2016

Rajeshwar Kumar

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

C.M. No. 1374 of 2016

Application for impleading Director, Local Bodies, Punjab as
respondent no. 3 is allowed.

CWP No. 1274 of 2016

On oral request of counsel for the petitioner, hearing of the
main case is pre-poned from 24.02.2016 to today.

The petitioner seeks the relief of regularization of services from
the date his juniors had been regularized and to grant him consequential
benefits.

It is the case of the petitioner that he has been working since
1998 as an Electrician and after 2010, he has been working as a Driver on
the hydraulic stairs. He has thus completed 17 years of service with
respondent no. 2-Corporation. It is his case that persons who had joined
later have been duly regularized whereas, he has been left out. The details
of the persons have been given in para no. 3 of the legal notice dated

01.08.2015 (Annexure P-5) which he had served upon the respondents bringing to their notice his grouse which remains unanswered. Counsel submits that he would be satisfied if the same is decided within a time bound frame.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos. 1 and 3. Copy of the writ petition has been supplied to him in Court.

Keeping in view the limited relief sought, this Court is of the opinion that there is no need for the respondents to file reply.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 3 to take further action on the legal notice dated 01.08.2015 (Annexure P-5) which has been served upon respondent no. 2 within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say if the relief is to be denied, a reasoned order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner.

05.02.2016
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE