

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 12735 of 2016  
Date of decision : June 22, 2016

Surinder Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present:- Mr. H. S. Deol, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

**M. JEYAPPAUL, J (oral).**

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court took notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

The petitioners' prayer is for a direction to the respondents to consider their claim for allotment of flats contending that they are victims of 1984 Sikh Riots. The petitioners rely upon the policy of the State Government in this regard.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the writ petitioners submits that the writ petition itself may be treated as a representation and the same be disposed of by the respondents within a time line fixed by this Court.

Considering the limited prayer now sought for on behalf

of the writ petitioners, the writ petition be treated as a representation submitted by the petitioners and the respondents are directed to decide the same within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the  
aforementioned direction.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL )  
JUDGE**

**June 22 , 2016  
archana**

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)  
JUDGE**