

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.12636 of 2016
Date of decision: 24.8.2016**

Punjab National Bank

... Petitioners

Versus

District Magistrate, District Mansa and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present: Mr.G.S.Gopera, Advocate, for
Mr.Sunil Toni, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Alok Jain, Additional AG, Punjab.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking possession of the shops/properties mentioned in the application dated 4.5.2015 which had been mortgaged with the petitioner bank through respondents No.2 and 3.

2. Learned State counsel states that possession of one of the disputed property had already been delivered on 19.8.2016 whereas possession of the other property mentioned in the said application shall be delivered by 30.9.2016. It was pointed out by the learned State counsel in respect of the third property that the petitioner itself sent a communication intimating that there is a stay by the Civil Court in Civil Suit No.376 of 2016 filed in the Court of Civil Judge, Senior Division, Mansa.

3. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as

such.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

August 24, 2016
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No