

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.**

**244**

**CWP-12541-2016**

**Date of Decision:13.07.2016**

Manbir Singh Bhadana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN**

Present: Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate for  
Mr. Manvinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sheoran, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Rakesh Kumar Jain (Oral)**

This petition is filed for seeking a direction to the official respondent Nos.1 to 4 for conducting a fair, impartial and expeditious probe in the FIR No.145 dated 11.05.2016, under Sections 387 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sector 05, Panchkula, specifically naming respondent No.8.

The petitioner is the serving Chairman of the Haryana Public Service Commission who had been threatened by respondent No.8 with dire consequences if he does not pay ₹50 lacs to him. The script of the messages sent along with the screen-shots is also placed on record.

After notice, reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, is filed today in the Court in which it is tried to be projected that the whatsapp messages in the mobile phone of the complainant/petitioner were deleted by

him and could not be retrieved even by the CFSL Chandigarh and FSL Madhuban, Karnal. However, it is submitted that the sim used for sending the said whatsapp messages was found to have been in the name of one Sandesh Sawant, resident of Mulund West, Mumbai, has been verified but the said person is not being traced and hence notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. has been issued to him. It is also averred in the reply that the Investigating Officer has put his best feet forward to nab the culprit.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued by this Court to respondents No.2 to 4 to faithfully and expeditiously investigate the matter.

Counsel for the respondents-State has assured the Court, at the behest of respondents No.1 to 4, that the investigation would be carried out in a most impartial manner and with swiftness to bring the alleged accused before the Court.

In view of the above said assurance given by the respondents-State, the petitioner feels satisfied and submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the petition at this stage with liberty to approach this Court again, if need be.

I order accordingly.

**July 13, 2016**  
*kapil*

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**