

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12499 of 2016

Date of decision: 4.7.2016

Ram Niwas

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Pankaj Mehta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The petitioner claims retrospective seniority from the year 1989 in this petition based on a claim that he was higher in merit than another selected candidate who has not even been made party to the petition. On this ground, the petition deserves to be dismissed. However, the other reason why his petition deserves to be declined is on account of raising a dead claim after 27 years, whereas the law is that the seniority issues linked with promotion should be raised within six months or at least within one year in view of the law laid down in P.S. Sadasivaswamy v. State of Tamil Nadu, AIR 1974 SC 2271.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

4.7.2016
monika