

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16622 of 2013
Date of Decision : 21.04.2016

Sandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gosain, Advocate
Central Govt. Counsel for UOI.

P.B. Bajanthri, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for UOI submits that the present petition has become infructuous as the petitioner has been appointed. He has produced photocopy of offer of appointment letter for the post of 'CT/GD' issued to the petitioner. The same is taken on record.

Accordingly, the present petition do not survive for consideration and the same is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous.

April 21, 2016.
kanchan

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE