

Sr. No.225

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CM-8714-2016 in/and
CWP-12342-2016(O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.11.2016**

Amarjit Singh

.....Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present:- Mr.R.K.Arya Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. B.M.Vinayak, DAG, Punjab.
M. Vijay Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent no.5.

-:-

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

It is not disputed before this Court that petitioner had got equally efficacious alternate remedy of revision under Section 201 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 against the impugned order. It is also not in dispute that petitioner did not avail the said alternate remedy before filing the present writ petition.

In view of the above and also keeping in view the observations made by this Court in **Binder Kaur v. State of Punjab and others** decided on 30.09.2015 and reported as **2016(1) RCR (Civil case) 634**, as well as in the order dated 22.08.2016 passed by this Court in **CWP-15478-2016**

(Veerpal Kaur v.State of Punjab and others), petitioner is relegated to his
equally efficacious alternate remedy before the appropriate authority.

However, in case the petitioner files an appropriate revision
petition within a period of two weeks from receipt of certified copy of this
order, the revisional authority shall decide the same at an early date and in
any case within a period of one month thereafter. The petitioner shall be at
liberty to raise all possible pleas available to him, while filing his revision
petition which shall be decided by the revisional authority by passing
appropriate order, in accordance with law.

With the above said observations made and directions issued,the
present writ petition stands disposed off.

November 08, 2016

mamta

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No