

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19642 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-11.4.2016.

Vijay Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Central Reserve Police Force and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate for Union of India-respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the orders dated 13.11.2009, 22.3.2010, 23.8.2010 and 13.3.2011 passed by the respondents. The offices of the respondents are situated in New Delhi, Uttar Pradesh and Jammu. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition is not maintainable on the ground of jurisdiction.

The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach the jurisdictional Court. It is observed that pendency of this petition be considered for the purpose of condoning the delay, if any, in the interest of justice.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

April 11, 2016.

sandeep sethi